

## **LAG GROUP RESPONSES**

**NOVEMBER 2007**

Response forms were received from some or all members of eight groups. All responses are listed below “in your own words.”

### **What did you find helpful in this process and/or meeting (congregational)?**

- Outline of LAG Group process
- Table evaluations
- Members are becoming more computer literate; everyone was able to access materials independently.
- Response forms as Word templates/e-mail submission
- Feedback comments from congregational meeting
- Generative energy that came from LAG discussion
- The forms for response and recommendations
- Discussions around the Chapter-related issues we raised were open, accepting, and supportive.
- Positive Approach
- We felt better informed.
- Facilitator was excellent
- Process was less threatening
- Sister Marlene’s letter is an excellent guide to know where we need to go.
- Holy Redeemer Health System’s presentation was very informative.
- Contemplative approach
- Individual preparation page helpful
- Participation of all members in the LAG group
- Good discussions and reflections
- Lag members came prepared
- Everyone listened and respected the opinion of others
- The process was energizing
- Group found clarity in the Type 1/2/3 options
- We like the prayerful spirit and inclusiveness of the process and would like to continue with its use.

- We found Margaret helpful.
- We liked the presentations from Donna Lord, Denise Collins and Marlene Butler.
- The “types” helped to organize our thoughts.

### **What recommendations would you make for the future?**

- The LAG Group process, as written, is time consuming. Our group did steps 1, 2 & 4. Sharing in step 2 led naturally into step 4. We omitted steps 3 & 5 due to time constraints. We devoted 2 hours to the process and were able to surface only one issue as a group, as there was lengthy discussion.
  - Our group meets on a weeknight. We have good intentions and a high level of participation and cooperation, but limited time & energy. If the process could be streamlined, this would be helpful.
  - We did not have time to evaluate the meeting as a group. I am submitting this evaluation as an individual.
  - Simplify the LAG group process
  - Eliminate TYPE/numbers for the issues
  - Step 2, regarding the sharing of something that was happening within us, didn't work for our group, partly because we were tired and partly because we were eager to get on with the discussion of Chapter issues.
  - Continue to use this particular process.
  - Make a stronger effort to include everyone's thoughts on the time of Chapter as well as the length.
  - We would like to continue to use this process after the Chapter.
  - What would you consider a valid “signature” on an email???
- [Response Note from CPC: On emailed responses we just need one or more names to identify the writer(s).]